UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,138	12/29/2000	Markku Verkama	59643.00114	9196
	7590 05/11/200 DERS & DEMPSEY L	EXAMINER		
8000 TOWERS	CRESCENT DRIVE	ELISCA, PIERRE E		
14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 2	22182-6212	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	ation No. Applicant(s)					
		09/751,138		VERKAMA, MARKKU				
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Pierre E. Elis	ca	3621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ears on the co	over sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>06 Feb</i>	bruary 2009					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
—		<i>′</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawi	n from consi	deration.				
	Claim(s) <u>20-35</u> is/are allowed.							
′=	∑ Claim(s) <u>1,10,14 and 17</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>10,20,21 and 24-26</u> is/are							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restri	-	election requ	ıirement.				
	on Papers		·					
	-	o Evaminar						
-	The specification is objected to by the			abiaatad ta bu tha l				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are		•	-				
	Applicant may not request that any obje			-				
440	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	_	-			, ,		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	ımıner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) 5) 6)	=	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/751,138 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 02/06/2009.

2. Claims 1-35 are currently pending and have been examined.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 10, 20, 21, 24, 25, and 26 are objected to for usage of the functional language "configured to". It is believed that Applicants intend "configured to" to mean "programmed to" since "configured to" is functional language and therefore given less patentable weight. In light of the notice function of the claims, the Examiner respectfully requests changing "configured to" to "programmed to" where a positive recitation is desired. See Also MPEP 2106.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. Claims 1, 10, 14, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Based on Supreme Court precedent¹ and recent Federal Circuit decisions, a §101 process must (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying

¹ See also *Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker v. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); *Cochrane v. Deener*, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876).

Application/Control Number: 09/751,138 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. See *In re Bilski*, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

An example of a method claim that would not qualify as a statutory process would be a claim that recited purely mental steps.

To meet prong (1), the method step should positively recite the other statutory class (the thing or product) to which it is tied. This may be accomplished by having the claim positively recite the machine that accomplishes the method steps. Alternatively or to meet prong (2), the method step should positively recite identifying the material that is being changed to a different state or positively recite the subject matter that is being transformed. Applicant is advised to add a machine or computer into the body of the claims.

In this particular case, the claims fail prong (1) because the method steps are not tied to a machine and can be performed without the use of a particular machine. As noted below, a 'process' is not a machine. Additionally, the claims fail prong (2) because the method steps do not transform the underlying subject matter to a different state or thing.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 20-35 are allowed if Applicant overcomes the claimed objection described above.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/751,138 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 571 272 6706. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00. Hoteler.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on 571 272 6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ Pierre E. Elisca/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3621